

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

CHARLES J. **WINSLOW**, STEVEN T. MITCHELL,
JAYNE KIRK, and CHARLES D. RAY

Junior Party
(Patent No. 6,083,225),

v.

GARY KARLIN **MICHELSON**

Senior Party
(Application No. 09/605,001).

Patent Interference No. 105,902 (SCM)
(Technology Center 3700)

DECLARATION – Bd. R. 203(b)¹

Part A. Declaration of Interference

An interference is declared (35 U.S.C. § 135(a)) between the above-identified parties. Details of the application, patent, count(s) and claims designated as corresponding or as not corresponding to the count(s) appear in Parts E and F of this DECLARATION.

¹ “Bd. R. x” may be used as shorthand for “37 C.F.R. § 41.x”. 69 Fed. Reg. 49960, 49961 (12 Aug. 2004).

1 **Part B. Judge managing the interference**

2 Administrative Patent Judge Sally C. Medley has been designated to manage
3 the interference. Bd. R. 104(a).

4 **Part C. Standing order**

5 A Trial Section STANDING ORDER [SO] (Paper 2) accompanies this
6 DECLARATION. The STANDING ORDER applies to this interference.

7 **Part D. Initial conference call**

8 A telephone conference call to discuss the interference is set for **1:30 p.m.**
9 **on 2 October 2012** (the Board will initiate the call).

10 No later than **four business days** prior to the conference call, each party
11 shall file and serve (SO ¶¶ 10.1 & 105) a list of the motions (Bd.R. 120; Bd.R. 204;
12 SO ¶¶ 104.2.1, 120 & 204) the party intends to file.

13 A sample schedule for taking action during the motion phase appears as
14 Form 2 in the STANDING ORDER. Counsel are encouraged to discuss the
15 schedule prior to the conference call and to agree on dates for taking action.

16 A typical motion period lasts approximately eight (8) months. Counsel should be
17 prepared to justify any request for a shorter or longer period.

Part E. Identification and order of the parties

Junior Party

Named Inventors: CHARLES J. WINSLOW, Walnut Creek, CA
STEVEN T. MITCHELL, Pleasant Hill, CA
JAYNE KIRK, Alameda, CA
CHARLES D. RAY, Williamsburg, CA

Involved Patent: Patent 6,083,225, issued 4 July 2000, based on
application 08/889,661, filed 8 July 1997

Title: Method and instrumentation for implant insertion

Assignee: Howmedica Osteonics Corp.

Senior Party

Named Inventors: GARY KARLIN MICHELSON, Venice, CA

Involved Application Application No. 09/605,001, filed 27 June 2000

Title: Apparatus and method of inserting spinal implants

Assignee: Warsaw Orthopedic, Inc.

The senior party is assigned exhibit numbers 1001-1999. The junior party is assigned exhibit numbers 2001-2999. Bd.R. 154(c)(1); SO ¶ 154.2.1. The senior party is responsible for initiating settlement discussions. SO ¶ 126.1.

Part F. Count and claims of the parties

Count 1

Claim 14 of Winslow's 6,083,225 Patent

or

Claim 117 of Michelson's Application

1 The claims of the parties are:

2 Winslow: 1-26

3 Michelson: 105-129

4 The claims of the parties which correspond to Count 1 are:

5 Winslow: 1-3, 5-26

6 Michelson: 105-129

7 The claims of the parties which do not correspond to Count 1 are:

8 Winslow: 4

9 Michelson: none

10 The parties are accorded the following benefit for Count 1:

11 Winslow: application 08/615,379, filed 14 March 1996

12 Michelson: application 08/396,414, filed 27 February 1995, now
13 patent 6,080,155, issued 27 June 2000

[illegible]

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

4
5
6
7
8
9

6
7
8
9
0
1
2
3
4

0
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

5
6
7
8
9
20
21
22

9
20
21
22

23
24
25
26
2724
25
26
2728
29
30

1 Enc:

2 Copy of STANDING ORDER (March 2011)

3 Copy of claims of Application 09/605,001

4 Copy of Patent 6,083,225

5

6 cc (via overnight mail):

7 Attorney for Winslow:

8

9 Lerner, David, Littenberg, Krumholz & Mentlik

10 600 South Avenue West

11 Westfield, NJ 07090

12

13 Attorney for Michelson:

14

15 Martin & Ferraro, LLP

16 1557 Lake O’Pines Street, NE

17 Hartville, OH 44632